JOURNAL OF THE
CHUNGCHEONG MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume **23**, No. 2, June 2010

ON SEMI-INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF A NEARLY KENMOTSU MANIFOLD WITH A SEMI-SYMMETRIC NON-METRIC CONNECTION

Mobin Ahmad* and Jae-Bok Jun**

Abstract. We define a semi-symmetric non-metric connection in a nearly Kenmotsu manifold and we study semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Moreover, we discuss the integrability of distributions on semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

1. Introduction

In [4], K. Kenmotsu introduced and studied a new class of almost contact manifolds called Kenmotsu manifolds. The notion of nearly Kenmotsu manifold was introduced by A. Shukla in [8]. Semi-invariant submanifolds in Kenmotsu manifolds were studied by N. Papaghuic [6], M. Kobayashi [5] and B. B. Sinha and R. N. Yadav [9]. Semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifolds were studied by M. M. Tripathi and S. S. Shukla in [10]. In this paper we study semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

Let ∇ be a linear connection in an n-dimensional differentiable manifold M. The torsion tensor T and the curvature tensor R of ∇ are given respectively by

$$T(X,Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X,Y],$$
$$R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z.$$

Received February 01, 2010; Accepted April 23, 2010.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary $53C05, 53D12$.
Key words and phrases: semi-invariant submanifolds, nearly Kenmotsu manifolds, semi-symmetric non-metric connection, Gauss and Weingarten equations, integrability conditions, distributions.
Correspondence should be addressed to Jae-Bok Jun, jbjun@kookmin.ac.kr.

The connection ∇ is *symmetric* if the torsion tensor T vanishes, otherwise it is non-symmetric. The connection ∇ is *metric* if there is a Riemannian metric g in M such that $\nabla g = 0$, otherwise it is non-metric. It is well known that a linear connection is symmetric and metric if it is the Levi-Civita connection.

In ([3], [7]) A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten introduced the idea of a semi-symmetric linear connection. A linear connection ∇ is said to be *semi-symmetric* if its torsion tensor T is of the form

$$T(X,Y) = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y,$$

where η is a 1-form.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give a brief introduction of nearly Kenmotsu manifold. In section 3, we show that the induced connection on a semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection is also semi-symmetric and non-metric. In section 4, we established some lemmas on semi-invariant submanifolds and in section 5, we discussed the integrability conditions of the distributions on semi-invariant submanifolds of nearly Kenmotsu manifolds with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\bar{M}$ be an $(2m+1)-$dimensional almost contact metric manifold [2] with a metric tensor g, a tensor field ϕ of type (1,1), a vector field ξ and a 1-form η which satisfies

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \phi\xi = 0, \eta\phi = 0, \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any vector fields X and Y on $\bar{M}$. If in addition to the condition for an almost contact metric structure we have $d\eta(X,Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$, then the structure is said to be a *contact metric structure*.

The almost contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$ is called a *nearly Kenmotsu manifold* if it satisfies the condition [8]

$$(\bar{\bar{\nabla}}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\bar{\nabla}}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y,$$

where $\bar{\bar{\nabla}}$ denotes the Riemannian connection with respect to g. If, moreover, M satisfies

$$(\bar{\bar{\nabla}}_X \phi)Y = g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X, \tag{2.1}$$

then it is called *Kenmotsu manifold* [3].

DEFINITION 2.1. *An n-dimensional Riemannian submanifold M of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ is called a semi-invariant submanifold if ξ is tangent to M and there exists on M a pair of orthogonal distributions $(D, D^{\perp})$ such that [1]*
(i) $TM = D \oplus D^{\perp} \oplus \{\xi\}$,
(ii) distribution D is invariant under ϕ, that is $\phi D_x = D_x$ for all $x \in M$,
(iii) distribution $D^{\perp}$ is anti-invariant under ϕ, that is $\phi D_x^{\perp} \subset T_x^{\perp} M$ for all $x \in M$, where $T_x M$ and $T_x^{\perp} M$ are respectively the tangent and normal space of M at x.

The distribution D(resp. $D^{\perp}$) is called the *horizontal* (resp. *vertical*) distribution. A semi-invariant submanifold M is said to be an *invariant* (resp. *anti-invariant*) submanifold if we have $D_x^{\perp} = \{0\}$ (resp. $D_x = \{0\}$) for each $x \in M$. We also call M is *proper* if neither D nor $D^{\perp}$ is null. It is easy to check that each hypersurface of M which is tangent to ξ inherits a structure of the semi-invariant submanifold of $\bar{M}$.

Now, we define a *semi-symmetric non-metric connection* $\bar{\nabla}$ in a Kenmotsu manifold by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \bar{\bar{\nabla}}_X Y + \eta(Y) X \tag{2.2}$$

such that $(\bar{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = -\eta(Y) g(X, Z) - \eta(Z) g(X, Y)$ for any X and $Y \in TM$, where $\bar{\bar{\nabla}}$ is the induced connection on M.

From (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi) Y = g(\phi X, Y)\xi - 2\eta(Y)\phi X, \tag{2.3}$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi) Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi) X = -2\eta(X)\phi Y - 2\eta(Y)\phi X.$$

We denote by g the metric tensor of $\bar{M}$ as well as that is induced on M. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the semi-symmetric non-metric connection on $\bar{M}$ and ∇ be the induced connection on M with respect to the unit normal N.

THEOREM 2.2. *The connection induced on the semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection is also a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.*

Proof. Let ∇ be the induced connection with respect to the unit normal N on semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$. Then

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + m(X, Y),$$

where m is a tensor field of type (0, 2) on semi-invariant submanifold M. If $\nabla^\star$ be the induced connection on semi-invariant submanifolds from Riemannian connection $\bar{\bar{\nabla}}$, then

$$\bar{\bar{\nabla}}_X Y = \nabla^\star{}_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

where h is a second fundamental tensor. Now using (2.2), we have

$$\nabla_X Y + m(X, Y) = \nabla^\star{}_X Y + h(X, Y) + \eta(Y)X.$$

Equating the tangential and normal components from the both sides in the above equation, we get

$$h(X, Y) = m(X, Y)$$

and

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla^\star{}_X Y + \eta(Y)X.$$

Thus ∇ is also a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. □

Now, the Gauss formula for a semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection is

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \tag{2.4}$$

and the Weingarten formula for M is given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -(A_N + a)X + \nabla^\perp_X N \tag{2.5}$$

for $X, Y \in TM$, $N \in T^\perp M$, where $a = \eta(N)$ is a function on M, h(resp. A_N) is the second fundamental tensor (resp. form) of M in $\bar{M}$ and $\nabla^\perp$ denotes the operator of the normal connection. Moreover, we have

$$g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y). \tag{2.6}$$

Any vector X tangent to M is given as

$$X = PX + QX + \eta(X)\xi, \tag{2.7}$$

where PX and QX belong to the distribution D and $D^\perp$ respectively.

For any vector field N normal to M, we put

$$\phi N = BN + CN, \tag{2.8}$$

where BN (resp. CN) denotes the tangential (resp. normal) component of ϕN.

The Nijenhuis tensor $N(X, Y)$ for a semi-symmetric non-metric connection is defined as

$$N(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_{\phi Y}\phi)X - \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X \tag{2.9}$$

for any X and $Y \in T\bar{M}$.

From (2.3), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)Y = 2\eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)\phi X. \tag{2.10}$$

Also, we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)(\phi X) = ((\bar{\nabla}_Y\eta)X)\xi + \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_Y\xi - \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X. \tag{2.11}$$

Now, using (2.11) in (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)Y &= 2\eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - ((\bar{\nabla}_Y\eta)X)\xi\\ &\quad -\eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_Y\xi + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X.\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

By virtue of (2.12) and (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}N(X,Y) &= -2\eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)Y + 8\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\bar{\nabla}_X\xi\\ &\quad -\eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_Y\xi + 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi + 4\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi X)\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

for any X and $Y \in T\bar{M}$.

3. Basic Lemmas

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then we have*

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y = \nabla_X\phi Y - \nabla_Y\phi X + h(X,\phi Y) - h(Y,\phi X) - \phi[X,Y].$$

Proof. By the Gauss formula we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X\phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y\phi X = \nabla_X\phi Y - \nabla_Y\phi X + h(X,\phi Y) - h(Y,\phi X). \tag{3.1}$$

Also by use of (2.4), the covariant differentiation yields

$$\bar{\nabla}_X\phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y\phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X + \phi[X,Y]. \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X = \nabla_X\phi Y - \nabla_Y\phi X + h(X,\phi Y) - h(Y,\phi X) - \phi[X,Y]. \tag{3.3}$$

Using $\eta(X) = 0$ for each $X \in D$ in (2.3), we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Adding (3.3) and (3.4) we get the result. □

Similar computations also yields the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then we have*

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla^{\perp}_X\phi Y - \nabla_Y\phi X - h(Y,\phi X) - \phi[X,Y]$$

for any $X\in D$ and $Y\in D^{\perp}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then we have*

$$Q\nabla_X(\phi PY) + Q\nabla_Y(\phi PX) - QA_{\phi QY}X - QA_{\phi QX}Y = -2\eta(Y)\phi QX -2\eta(X)\phi QY + 2Bh(X,Y), \tag{3.5}$$

$$h(X,\phi PY) + h(Y,\phi PX) + \nabla^{\perp}_X\phi QY + \nabla^{\perp}_Y\phi QX = 2Ch(X,Y) +\phi Q\nabla_X Y + \phi Q\nabla_Y X, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\eta(\nabla_X\phi PY + \nabla_Y\phi PX - A_{\phi QY}X - A_{\phi QX}Y) = 0$$

for all X and $Y\in TM$.

Proof. Differentiating (2.7) covariantly and using (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y + \phi(\nabla_X Y) + \phi h(X,Y) = P\nabla_X(\phi PY) + Q\nabla_X(\phi PY) +\eta(\nabla_X\phi PY)\xi - PA_{\phi QY}X - QA_{\phi QY}X -\eta(A_{\phi QY}X)\xi + \nabla^{\perp}_X\phi QY + h(X,\phi PY). \tag{3.7}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X + \phi(\nabla_Y X) + \phi h(Y,X) = P\nabla_Y(\phi PX) + Q\nabla_Y(\phi PX) +\eta(\nabla_Y\phi PX)\xi - PA_{\phi QX}Y - QA_{\phi QX}Y -\eta(A_{\phi QX}Y)\xi + \nabla^{\perp}_Y\phi QX + h(Y,\phi PX). \tag{3.8}$$

Adding (3.7) and (3.8) and using (2.3) and (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-2\eta(Y)\phi PX - 2\eta(Y)\phi QX - 2\eta(X)\phi PY - 2\eta(X)\phi QY + \phi P\nabla_X Y \\ &+\phi Q\nabla_X Y + \phi P\nabla_Y X + \phi Q\nabla_Y X + 2Bh(Y,X) + 2Ch(Y,X) \\ &= P\nabla_X(\phi PY) + P\nabla_Y(\phi PX) + Q\nabla_Y(\phi PX) - PA_{\phi QY}X \\ &+Q\nabla_X(\phi PY) + \nabla^{\perp}_X\phi QY - PA_{\phi QX}Y - QA_{\phi QY}X \\ &-QA_{\phi QX}Y + \nabla^{\perp}_Y\phi QX + h(Y,\phi PX) + h(X,\phi PY) \\ &+\eta(\nabla_X\phi PY)\xi + \eta(\nabla_Y\phi PX)\xi - \eta(A_{\phi QX}Y)\xi - \eta(A_{\phi QY}X)\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Equations from (3.1) to (3.4) follows the results by the comparison of the tangential, normal and vertical components of (3.9). □

DEFINITION 3.4. *The horizontal distribution D is said to be parallel with respect to the connection ∇ on M if $\nabla_X Y \in D$ for all vector fields X and $Y \in D$.*

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ with a semi-symmetric and non-metric connection. If the horizontal distribution D is parallel, then $h(X, \phi Y) = h(Y, \phi X)$ for all X and $Y \in D$.*

Proof. Since D is parallel, therefore, $\nabla_X \phi Y \in D$ and $\nabla_Y \phi X \in D$ for each X and $Y \in D$. Now from (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$h(X, \phi Y) + h(Y, \phi X) = 2\phi h(X, Y). \tag{3.10}$$

Replacing X by ϕX in the above equation, we have

$$h(\phi X, \phi Y) - h(Y, X) = 2\phi h(\phi X, Y). \tag{3.11}$$

Replacing Y by ϕY in (3.10), we have

$$-h(X, Y) + h(\phi X, \phi Y) = 2\phi h(X, \phi Y). \tag{3.12}$$

Comparing (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$h(X, \phi Y) = h(\phi X, Y)$$

for all X and $Y \in D$. □

DEFINITION 3.6. *A semi-invariant submanifold is said to be mixed totally geodesic if $h(X, Z) = 0$ for all $X \in D$ and $Z \in D^{\perp}$.*

LEMMA 3.7. *Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then M is mixed totally geodesic if and only if $A_N X \in D$ for all $X \in D$.*

Proof. If $A_N X \in D$, then $g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y) = 0$, which gives $h(X, Y) = 0$ for $Y \in D^{\perp}$. Hence M is mixed totally geodesic. □

4. Integrability conditions for distributions

THEOREM 4.1. *Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then the distribution $D \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable if the following conditions are satisfied*

$$S(X, Y) \in D \oplus \{\xi\},$$

and

$$h(X, \phi Y) = h(\phi X, Y)$$

for X and $Y \in D \oplus \{\xi\}$.

Proof. The torsion tensor $S(X,Y)$ of almost contact structure is given by

$$S(X,Y) = N(X,Y) + 2d\eta(X,Y)\xi,$$

where $N(X,Y)$ is the Nijenhuis tensor. Then we know

$$S(X,Y) = [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y] + 2d\eta(X,Y)\xi. \tag{4.1}$$

Suppose that $D\oplus\{\xi\}$ is integrable, so for X and $Y\in D\oplus\{\xi\}$, $N(X,Y) = 0$. Then $S(X,Y) = 2d\eta(X,Y)\xi\in D\oplus\{\xi\}$. Using the Gauss formula in (2.13), we get

$$N(X,Y) = 4\phi(\nabla_Y \phi X) + 4\phi h(Y, \phi X) - 4h(Y,X) \tag{4.2}$$

for all X and $Y \in D$. From (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$\phi Q(\nabla_Y \phi X) + Ch(Y, \phi X) + h(Y,X) = 0$$

for all X and $Y \in D$. Replacing Y by ϕZ, we have

$$\phi Q(\nabla_{\phi Z} \phi X) + Ch(\phi Z, \phi X) + h(\phi Z, X) = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

where $Z\in D$. Interchanging X and Z, we have

$$\phi Q(\nabla_{\phi X} \phi Z) + Ch(\phi X, \phi Z) + h(\phi X, Z) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Subtracting (4.4) from (4.3), we have

$$\phi Q[\phi X, \phi Z] + h(Z, \phi X) - h(X, \phi Z) = 0,$$

from which the assertion follows. □

LEMMA 4.2. *Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then it holds*

$$2\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi Z = A_{\phi Y} Z - A_{\phi Z} Y + \nabla^{\perp}_Y \phi Z - \nabla^{\perp}_Z \phi Y - \phi[Y,Z].$$

Proof. From the Weingarten formula, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi Z - \bar{\nabla}_Z \phi Y = -A_{\phi Z} Y + A_{\phi Y} Z + \nabla^{\perp}_Y \phi Z - \nabla^{\perp}_Z \phi Y. \tag{4.5}$$

Also by covariant differentiation, we get

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi Z - \bar{\nabla}_Z \phi Y = (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi) Z - (\bar{\nabla}_Z \phi) Y + \phi[Y,Z]. \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi) Z - (\bar{\nabla}_Z \phi) Y = A_{\phi Y} Z - A_{\phi Z} Y + \nabla^{\perp}_Y \phi Z - \nabla^{\perp}_Z \phi Y - \phi[Y,Z]. \tag{4.7}$$

From (2.3) we obtain

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi) Z + (\bar{\nabla}_Z \phi) Y = 0 \tag{4.8}$$

for any X and $Y \in D$. Adding (4.7) and (4.8), we get the result. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then it holds*

$$A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y = \frac{1}{3}\phi P[Y,Z], \tag{4.9}$$

where $[Y,Z]$ is the Lie bracket for $\bar{\nabla}$.

Proof. Let $Y, Z \in D^{\perp}$ and $X \in x(M)$. Then from (2.4) and (2.6), we have

$$2g(A_{\phi Z}Y, X) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X, Z) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y, Z) + g((\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y, Z).$$

By use of (2.3) and $\eta(Y) = 0$ for $Y \in D^{\perp}$, we have

$$2g(A_{\phi Z}Y, X) = -g(\phi \bar{\nabla}_Y Z, X) + g(A_{\phi Y}Z, X).$$

Transvecting X from the both sides, we get

$$2A_{\phi Z}Y = -\phi \bar{\nabla}_Y Z + A_{\phi Y}Z.$$

Interchanging Y and Z, we have

$$2A_{\phi Y}Z = -\phi \bar{\nabla}_Z Y + A_{\phi Z}Y.$$

Subtracting above two equations, we get the result. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then the distribution $D^{\perp}$ is integrable if and only if*

$$A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y = 0$$

for all Y and $Z \in D^{\perp}$.

Proof. Suppose that the distribution $D^{\perp}$ is integrable. Then $[Y,Z] \in D^{\perp}$ for any Y and $Z \in D^{\perp}$. Therefore, $P[Y,Z] = 0$ and from (4.9), we get

$$A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Conversely let (4.10) holds. Then by virtue of (4.9) we have $\phi P[Y,Z] = 0$ for all Y and $Z \in D^{\perp}$. Since rank $\phi = 2n$, therefore we have either $P[Y,Z] = 0$ or $P[Y,Z] = k\xi$. But $P[Y,Z] = k\xi$ is not possible as P being a projection operator on D. Hence $P[Y,Z] = 0$, which is equivalent to $[Y,Z] \in D^{\perp}$ for all $Z \in D^{\perp}$ and thus $D^{\perp}$ is integrable. □

References

[1] A. Bejancu, *Geometry of CR-submanifolds*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Holland, 1986.

[2] D. E. Blair, *Lecture notes in Math.* 509 (1976), Springer-verlag, Berlin.

[3] A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten, *Uber die geometrie der halbsymmetrischen ubertragung*, Math. Zeitschr. **21** (1924), 211-223.

[4] K. Kenmotsu, *A class of almost contact Riemannian manifold*, Tohoku Math., J. **24**, (1972), 93.

[5] M. Kobayashi, *Semi-invariant submanifolds of a certain class of almost contact manifolds*, Tensor, N. S. 43 (1986), 28-36.

[6] N. Papaghiuc, *Semi-invariant submanifolds in a Kenmotsu manifold*, Rend. Math. **3** (1983), 607.

[7] J. A. Schouten, *Ricci calculus*, Springer, 1954.

[8] A. Shukla, *Nearly trans-Sasakian manifold*, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng. **23** (1996), no. 2, 139.

[9] B. B. Sinha and R. N. Yadav, *Some results on semi-invariant submanifolds of a certain class of almost contact manifolds*, Indian J. pure appl. Math. **22** (1991), 783.

[10] M. M. Tripathi and S.S. Shukla, *Semi-invariant submanifolds of nearly Kenmotsu manifolds*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **95** (2003), no. 1, 17-30.

*

Department of Mathematics
Integral University
Kursi-Road, Lucknow-226026, India
E-mail: mobinahmad@rediffmail.com

**

Department of Mathematics
Kook-Min University
Seoul 136-702, Republic of Korea
E-mail: jbjun@kookmin.ac.kr